2024

ACUTE CARE

QUALITY REPORTING GUIDE



Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	3
GLOSSARY OF KEY TERMS	4
REGULATORY PROGRAMS	5
ACUTE CARE PAY FOR PERFORMANCE SYSTEM REPORTING SUMMARY	6
HOSPITAL INPATIENT QUALITY REPORTING PROGRAM	7
HOSPITAL OUTPATIENT QUALITY REPORTING PROGRAM	12
HOSPITAL VALUE-BASED PURCHASING	14
HOSPITAL-ACQUIRED CONDITION REDUCTION PROGRAM	15
HOSPITAL READMISSION REDUCTION PROGRAM	
HOSPITAL CONSUMER ASSESSMENT OF HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS AND SYSTEMS	16
MEDICARE PROMOTING INTEROPERABILITY PROGRAM	16
QUALITY PAYMENT PROGRAM	
INITIATIVES	19
MISSOURI QUALITY TRANSPARENCY MEASURES	19
VOLUNTARY INITIATIVES	20
MISSOURI PERINATAL QUALITY COLLABORATIVE AND MATERNAL-CHILD LEARNING AND ACTION NETWORK	20
ACCREDITATION	21
THE JOINT COMMISSION	21
DNV	21
APPENDIX A — WEBSITE RESOURCES	22
APPENDIX B — MEDICARE QUALITY PROGRAM REFERENCE GUIDE	24

INTRODUCTION

The Missouri Hospital Association's Quality Reporting Guide is intended to provide support to acute care hospitals inpatient prospective payment systems when reporting hospital quality measures through the various reporting programs. Quality measure reporting is a priority for several reasons. By measuring the success of quality initiatives, we can better ensure patients in Missouri communities are receiving the quality health care they deserve. Moreover, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services and other health care partners use quality measures in their various quality initiatives that include quality improvement, pay-for-reporting and public reporting. As a result, proper quality reporting can affect a hospital's financial stability.

This guide will be updated as appropriate to represent measure changes and updates. Please be sure to use direct sources of information for detailed and up-to-date program and measure specifics. Direct links to helpful websites and resources are located in <u>Appendix A</u>.

GLOSSARY OF KEY TERMS

AIM	. Alliance for Innovation on Maternal Health
CMS	. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
CY	. Calendar Year: describes a typical calendar year. This represents Jan. 1 through
	Dec. 31 of the given year.
DNV	. Det Norske Veritas: Global quality assurance and risk management company
	providing accreditation services for hospital and health care systems
eCQMs	Electronic Clinical Quality Measures: refers to measures that are electronically
	submitted via the entity's certified electronic health record with the goal to
	improve quality and efficiency of patient care.
EHR	. Electronic Health Record
FFY	. Federal Fiscal Year: describes the Medicare fiscal year. This represents Oct. 1
	through Sept. 30 of the given year.
HAC	. Hospital-Acquired Conditions (Present on Admission Indicator) Program
HCAHPS	. Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems
HIDI	. MHA Hospital Industry Data Institute
HIQRP	. Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting Program
HOQRP	. Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting Program
HRRP	. Hospital Readmission Reduction Program
MC LAN	Maternal-Child Learning and Action Network
NAS	. Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome
NHSN	. National Healthcare Safety Network
OAS CAHPS	. Outpatient and Ambulatory Surgery Consumer Assessment of Healthcare
	Providers and Systems
PAMR	. Pregnancy-Associated Mortality Review Board
PPS	. Prospective Payment System: payment method where Medicare reimbursement is
	allocated based on a fixed amount.
PQC	Perinatal Quality Collaborative
РҮ	. Payment Year: describes the year that a payment or reimbursement is received
QI	. Quality Improvement
QPP	. Quality Payment Program
SUD	. Substance Use Disorder
TJC	. The Joint Commission
VBP	. Hospital Value-Based Purchasing

REGULATORY PROGRAMS

- Hospital-Acquired Conditions Reduction Program Medicare pay-for-performance program that supports the CMS effort to link Medicare payments to health care quality in the inpatient hospital setting to encourage eligible hospitals to reduce HACs; requires a reduction in payments to applicable hospitals in worst-performing quartile of risk-adjusted HAC quality measures.
- Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Survey program administered to a random sample of inpatients to give insight on their health care experience. Results are publicly reported on <u>https://www.medicare.gov/care-</u> <u>compare/?providerType=Hospital&redirect=true</u> for the purposes of comparison, value-based purchasing and consumer education for health care decisions.
- Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting Program Equips consumers with hospital inpatient quality data for informed decisions and encourages the improvement of quality by hospitals and clinicians. Includes inpatient measures collected and submitted by acute care hospitals paid under prospective payment system and claims-based inpatient measures calculated by CMS. Failure to submit data results in a 25% reduction to the annual marketbasket update for hospitals paid under inpatient PPS.
- Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting Program Equips consumers with hospital outpatient quality data for informed decisions and encourages the improvement of quality by hospitals and clinicians. Includes outpatient measures collected and submitted by acute care hospitals paid under PPS and claims-based outpatient measures calculated by CMS. Failure to meet data submission requirements results in a 2% reduction in a provider's annual payment update under the outpatient PPS.
- Hospital Readmission Reduction Program Reduction in payments to applicable hospitals for greater than expected readmissions.
- Missouri Healthcare-Associated Infection Reporting System Missouri Department of Health & Senior Services program that requires Missouri hospitals to report health care-associated infections. Based on 2019 legislation, hospitals no longer are required to report to MHIRS so long as CMS requires reporting. This applies to all hospitals except ambulatory surgical centers and abortion facilities.
- **Promoting Interoperability Program** Previously known as Medicare and Medicaid EHR Incentive Program; encourages clinicians, eligible hospitals and CAHs to adopt, implement, upgrade and demonstrate meaningful use of certified EHR technology.
- **Quality Payment Program** Rewards high value, high quality Medicare clinicians with payment increases while reducing payments to clinicians not meeting performance standards.
- Hospital Value-Based Purchasing Effort to improve health care quality by linking Medicare's payment system to patient outcomes, patient satisfaction, patient safety and efficiency.

ACUTE CARE PAY FOR PERFORMANCE SYSTEM REPORTING SUMMARY

Quality Reporting	Data	Data Collection	Reporting	Notes (For Hospital Use)
Program	Steward	System	Frequency	,
LUCORD	01.60	REQUIRED*		
HIQRP±	CMS	QualityNet,	Quarterly	
HOOOD	C1 f 0	Vendor, NHSN		
HOOQR±	CMS	QualityNet,	Quarterly	
	C1 (2)	Vendor, NHSN		
HCAHPS±	CMS	QualityNet, Vendor	Quarterly	
VBP±	CMS	QualityNet,	Quarterly	
		Vendor, NHSN		
HRRP±	CMS	CMS Claims	Quarterly	
HAC	CMS	QualityNet,	Quarterly	
		Vendor, NHSN		
eCQM Program –	CMS	QualityNet,	Quarterly	
Required for		Vendor		
Promoting				
Interoperability				
Program				
QPP —	CMS	Qualified	Quarterly or	
Required for eligible		registries,	yearly, based	
practitioners		Medicare Part B	on	
		claims, data	requirement of	
		submission,	chosen metrics	
		vendor		
Missouri Quality	MHA HIDI	HIDI, NHSN	Quarterly	
Transparency				
Measures	ፍጥወ	ONGLY ENCOUR		
AIM	Health Resources	CMS claims,	Monthly and	
	and Services	chart abstracted	quarterly	
	Administration,	data	quarterry	
	ACOG	Gata		
Missouri Neonatal	MHA	CMS claims,	Monthly and	
Abstinence Syndrome		chart abstracted	quarterly	
Collaborative		data	-1	
ACCREDITATION*				
TJC National Quality	TJC	TJC Direct Data	Quarterly	
Acute Care Hospital	5	Submission		
Accreditation		Platform		
Program – Required				
if accredited				
DNV – Required if	DNV			
accredited				

*Based on facility's services and licensures. Please research your hospital's eligibility for each listed quality reporting program.

±Reported on Care Compare

HOSPITAL INPATIENT QUALITY REPORTING PROGRAM

The Hospital IQR program was originally mandated by Section 501(b) of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003. This section of the MMA authorized CMS to pay hospitals that successfully report designated quality measures a higher annual update to their payment rates.

In addition to giving hospitals a financial incentive to report the quality of their services, the hospital reporting program provides CMS with data to help consumers make more informed decisions about their health care. Some of the hospital quality of care information gathered through the program is available to consumers on the Care Compare website at https://www.medicare.gov/care-compare/?providerType=Hospital&redirect=true.

Hospitals that do not submit quality data receive a reduced payment rate increase. For these hospitals, the annual payment update is reduced by one quarter.

Measure	Reporting Effective Date	Affects APU	Promoting Interoperability Program	Notes (For Hospital Use)
Cl	inical Process of	f Care Measures (V	Via Chart Abstractio	on)
Sepsis and Septic Shock				
Severe sepsis and septic	Oct. 2015	FY 2017		
shock: management				
bundle measure				
Perinatal Care			1	
PC-01: Elective delivery	Removed			
prior to 39 completed	effective	Removed		
weeks of gestation	CY 2024	effective		
(aggregate data	reporting	FY 2026		
submission)	period			
Е	HR-Based Clin	ical Process of Car	re Measures (eCQM	()
	R	eporting Requiren	nents	
CY 2024/FY 2026 - Hospitals	required to report	four quarters of da	ta for six eCQMs: thr	ee select-selected plus
mandatory eCQMs of Safe Use	of Opioids – Curi	ent Prescribing; Ce	sarean Birth; Severe (Dbstetric Complications
Opioid-Related Measures				
Safe use of opioids –		FY 2024	Required	
concurrent prescribing			CY 2023	
HH-ORAE: Hospital			Available for	
harm – opioid-related			reporting	
adverse events			CY 2024	
Stroke				
STK-2: Discharged on antithrombotic therapy			Yes	

Measure	Reporting Effective Date	Affects APU	Promoting Interoperability Program	Notes (For Hospital Use)	
STK-3: Anticoagulation therapy for atrial fibrillation/flutter			Yes		
STK-5: Antithrombotic therapy by the end of hospital day 2			Yes		
Perinatal Care (PC)					
ePC-02: Cesarean birth			Mandatory for hospitals with obstetrics department CY 2024 reporting		
			period/FY 2026 payment determination		
cPC-07: Severe obstetric complications			Mandatory for hospitals with obstetrics department CY 2024 reporting period/FY 2026 payment		
			determination		
Venous Thromboembolism (VT VTE-1: Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis	·E)		Yes		
VTE-2: Intensive care unit VTE prophylaxis			Yes		
Medication-Related Adverse Ev	ents				
HH-01: Hospital harm – severe hypoglycemia			Available for reporting CY 2023		
HH-02: Hospital harm – severe hyperglycemia			Available for reporting CY 2023		
Additional eCQM Measures					
GMSC: Global malnutrition composite score			Available for reporting CY 2024		

Measure	Reporting Effective Date	Affects APU	Promoting Interoperability Program	Notes (For Hospital Use)
HH-PI: Hospital harm – pressure injury			Available for reporting	
HH-AKI: Hospital harm – acute kidney injury			CY 2025 Available for reporting CY 2025	
ExRad: Excessive radiation dose or inadequate image quality for diagnostic CT in adults (hospital level – inpatient)			Available for reporting CY 2025	

Measure	Reporting Effective Date	Affects APU	Notes (For Hospital Use)
Claims and	d Electronic Data M	Ieasures	
Hybrid HWR: Hospital-wide readmission measure with claims and electronic health record data	Required July 2023 – June 2024	FY 2026	
Hybrid HWM: Hybrid hospital-wide all- cause risk standardized mortality measure	Required July 2023 – June 2024	FY 2026	
	ims-Based Measure	S	
Coordination of Care AMI Excess Days: Excess days in acute care after hospitalization for acute myocardial infarction	Will use three years of data	FY 2018	
HF Excess Days: Excess days in acute care after hospitalization for heart failure	Will use three years of data	FY 2018	
PN Excess Days: Excess days in acute care after hospitalization for pneumonia	July 2014 – June 2017	FY 2019	
Mortality Outcome Measures (Medicare Patients	Only)		
MORT-30-STK: Hospital 30-day, all cause, risk standardized mortality rate following acute ischemic stroke		FY 2016	
Patient Safety Measures	1		
PSI-4: Death among surgical inpatients with serious treatment complications	On-going	On-going	
Hospital-Level Risk-Standardized Complication Rate Following Elective Primary THA/TKA	April 2019 – March 2022; removed effective	FY 2024; removed effective	
	April 2025 – March 31, 2028, reporting period	FY 2030 payment determination	

Measure	Reporting Effective Date	Affects APU	Notes (For Hospital Use)		
Payment Measures	-				
AMI Payment: Hospital-level, risk-standardized 30-day episode-of-care payment measure for AMI		FY 2016			
HF Payment: Hospital-level, risk-standardized 30-day episode-of-care payment measure for heart failure		FY 2017			
PN Payment: Hospital-level, risk-standardized 30-day episode-of-care payment measure for pneumonia		FY 2017			
THA/TKA Payment: Hospital-level, risk- standardized payment associated with a 90-day episode of care for elective primary total hip arthroplasty and/or total knee arthroplasty	CY 2016	FY 2018			
MSPB: Updated Medicare spending per	Removed	FY 2024;			
beneficiary	CY 2026	removed			
	reporting period	effective			
		FY 2028			
		payment			
		determination			
National Healt	hcare Safety Netwo	ork Measures			
Influenza vaccination coverage among healthcare personnel	Jan. 2013	FY 2015			
COVID-19 vaccination coverage among health care personnel	Oct. 2021	FY 2023			
HAI Measures Required for Value-Based Purchas	ing (See <u>Appendix B</u>))			
CLABSI: Central line-associated bloodstream infection outcome					
CAUTI: Catheter-associated urinary tract infection outcome					
Colon and Abdominal Hysterectomy SSI: ACS- CDC harmonized procedure specific surgical site infection outcome (colon procedures and abdominal hysterectomy procedures)					
MRSA: Facility-wide inpatient hospital-onset methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus bacteremia outcome					
CDI: Facility-wide inpatient hospital-onset clostridium difficile infection outcome					
Structural Measures					
Maternal morbidity	Oct. 2021	FY 2023			
HCHE: Hospital commitment to health equity	CY 2023	FY 2025			
SDOH-1: Screening for social drivers of health	Required CY 2024	FY 2026			

Measure	Reporting Effective Date	Affects APU	Notes (For Hospital Use)
SDOH-2: Screen positive rate for social	Required	FY 2026	
drivers of health	CY 2024		
Patient Expe	rience of Care Surve	ey Measure	
HCAHPS survey	On-going	On-going	
Patient-Reported	d Outcome Perform	ance Measure	
THA/TKA PRO-PM: Hospital-level total hip arthroplasty and/or total knee arthroplasty patient reported outcome-based performance measure (PRO-PM)	Voluntary for procedures performed July 2023 – June 2024; required for procedures performed July 2024 – June 2025	Voluntary data submission will not affect APU; required for FY 2028 payment determination	

HOSPITAL OUTPATIENT QUALITY REPORTING PROGRAM

The Hospital OQR Program is a pay-for-quality data reporting program implemented by CMS for outpatient hospital services. The Hospital OQR Program was mandated by the Tax Relief and Health Care Act of 2006 which requires subsection (d) hospitals to submit data on measures on the quality of care furnished by hospitals in outpatient settings. Measures of quality may be of various types, including those of process, structure, outcome and efficiency.

In addition to providing hospitals with a financial incentive to report their quality of care measure data, the Hospital OQR Program provides CMS with data to help Medicare beneficiaries make more informed decisions about their health care. Hospital quality of care information gathered through the Hospital OQR Program is available on the Care Compare website.

Failure to meet data submission requirements results in a 2% reduction in a provider's annual payment update under the OPPS.

The FY 2023 Hospital OPPS/ASC Payment System Final Rule aligned the patient encounter quarters for chart-abstracted measures with the calendar year. The transition year used three quarters of data for CY 2023 reporting period/CY 2025 payment determination.

CY 2024 Pa	CY 2024 Payment Determination			
Patient Encounter Quarter	Clinical Data Submission Deadline			
Q2 2022 (Apr. 1 – June 30)	Nov. 1, 2022			
Q3 2022 (July 1 – Sept. 30)	Feb. 1, 2023			
Q4 2022 (Oct. 1 – Dec. 31)	May 1, 2023			
Q1 2023 (Jan. 1 – Mar. 31)	Aug. 1, 2023			
CY 2025 Pa	ayment Determination			
Patient Encounter Quarter	Clinical Data Submission Deadline			
Q2 2023 (Apr. 1 – June 30)	Nov. 1, 2023			
Q3 2023 (July 1 – Sept. 30)	Feb. 1, 2024			
Q4 2023 (Oct. 1 – Dec. 31)	May 1, 2024			
CY 2026 Pa	ayment Determination			
Patient Encounter Quarter	Clinical Data Submission Deadline			
Q1 2024 (Jan. 1 – Mar. 31)	Aug. 1, 2024			
Q2 2024 (Apr. 1 – June 30)	Nov. 1, 2024			
Q3 2024 (July 1 – Sept. 30)	Feb. 1, 2025			
Q4 2024 (Oct. 1 – Dec. 31)	May 1, 2025			

Measure	Reporting	Affects APU	Notes (For Hospital Use)	
	Effective Date			
	Measures Collected	and Submitted by	⁷ Hospital	
ED Throughput				
OP-18: Median time from ED	Jan. 2012	CY 2013		
arrival to ED departure for				
discharged ED patients				
Stroke				
OP-23: Head CT or MRI scan	Jan. 2012	CY 2013		
results for acute ischemic stroke or				
hemorrhagic stroke patients who				
received head CT or MRI scan				
interpretation within 45 minutes of				
ED arrival				
	res (Via Hospital Q		Portal)	
OP-22: ED patient left without being	Jan. – June 2012	CY 2013		
seen	data			
OP-29: Endoscopy/polyp surveillance -	April 1, 2014	CY 2016		
appropriate follow-up interval for				
normal colonoscopy in average risk				
patients				
OP-31: Cataracts improvement in	Voluntary			
patient's visual function within 90 days	reporting			
following cataract surgery	CY 2015			
Outpatient and	Ambulatory Surge	ry Consumer Asse	essment	
of H	ealthcare Provider	s and Systems		
OP-37a: OAS CAHPS – about facilities	Mandatory	CY 2026		
and staff	CY 2024			
OP-37b: OAS CAHPS –	Mandatory	CY 2026		
communication about procedure	CY 2024	01 2020		
*				
OP-37c: OAS CAHPS – preparation	Mandatory	CY 2026		
for discharge and recovery	CY 2024			
OP-37d: OAS CAHPS – overall rating	Mandatory	CY 2026		
of facility	CY 2024			
OP-37e: OAS CAHPS –	Mandatory	CY 2026		
recommendation of facility	CY 2024			
Claims-Based Measures				
Imaging Efficiency Measures				
OP-8: MRI lumbar spine for low back	On-going	On-going		
pain	011 80118	on some		
		<u> </u>		
OP-10: Abdomen compute	Ongoing	On-going		
tomography (CT) use of contrast				
material				

Measure	Reporting Effective Date	Affects APU	Notes (For Hospital Use)		
OP-13: Cardiac imaging for preoperative risk assessment for non- cardiac low-risk surgery	CY 2010	CY 2012			
OP:39: Breast Screening Recall Rates	July 1, 2020 - June 30, 2021, data collection period	CY 2023			
Outcome Measures					
OP-32: Facility 7-day risk-standardized hospital visit rate after outpatient colonoscopy	CY 2016	CY 2018			
OP-35: Admissions and emergency department visits for patients receiving outpatient chemotherapy	CY 2018	CY 2020			
OP-36: Hospital visits after hospital outpatient surgery	CY 2018	CY 2020			
National H	lealthcare Safety Ne	etwork Measures			
OP-38: COVID-19 Vaccination Coverage Among Health Care Personnel	CY 2022	CY 2024			
EHR-Based Cli	EHR-Based Clinical Process of Care Measures (eCQM)				
OP-40: ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction (STEMI)	Mandatory reporting CY 2024	CY 2026			

HOSPITAL VALUE-BASED PURCHASING

The VBP program is designed to promote better clinical outcomes for hospital patients, as well as improve their experience of care at a lower cost during hospital stays by:

- eliminating or reducing the occurrence of adverse events (health care errors resulting in patient harm)
- adopting evidence-based care standards and protocols that result in the best outcomes for the most patients
- re-engineering hospital processes that improve patients' experience of care

Additional information regarding the Value-Based Purchasing Program is available on Appendix B.

CMS finalized within the FY 2024 final IPPS payment and policy updates that hospitals would be assessed a 2% reduction to its operating diagnosis-related group and then receive a value-based incentive payment based on measure performance.

HOSPITAL-ACQUIRED CONDITION REDUCTION PROGRAM

Section 3008 of the 2010 Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act established the Hospital-Acquired Condition Reduction Program to provide an incentive for hospitals to reduce HACs. The program requires the Secretary of the Department of Health & Human Services to adjust payments to applicable hospitals that rank in the worst performing quartile of all subsection (d) hospitals with respect to HACs. As stated in ACA Section 3008, these hospitals may have their payments reduced to 99% of what would otherwise have been paid for such discharges. Effective FFY 2021, each measure will be weighted the same; there are no domains.

Payment Penalties

Federal Fi	scal Year
IPPS Policy	2016 - 2021
Hospital-Acquired Conditions	1% for bottom quartile hospital

Please see <u>Appendix B</u> for additional information regarding the Hospital-Acquired Condition Reduction Program.

HOSPITAL READMISSION REDUCTION PROGRAM

Section 3025 of the Affordable Care Act added Section 1886(q) to the Social Security Act establishing the Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program, which requires CMS to reduce payments to IPPS hospitals with readmissions that are higher than expected, effective for discharges beginning Oct. 1, 2012. Additionally, the 21st Century Cures Act requires CMS to assess a hospital's performance relative to other hospitals with a similar proportion of patients who are dually eligible for Medicare and full-benefit Medicaid beginning in FY 2019. The legislation requires estimated payments under the non-stratified methodology (i.e., FY 2013 to FY 2018) equal payments under the stratified methodology (i.e., FY 2019 and subsequent years) to maintain budget neutrality.

For FY 2024, CMS calculates the payment adjustment factor (PAF) and components results for each hospital based on their performance that occurred during the two and one-half year performance period (i.e., July 1, 2019, through Dec. 31, 2019, and July 1, 2020, through June 30, 2022). Beginning with FFY 2015, the maximum payment reduction is 3%.

CMS calculates an excess readmission ratio for each measure in HRRP, calculated using data for Medicare fee-for-service patients discharged during the performance period. Detailed information is available on <u>QualityNet</u>.

Additional information about the Hospital Readmission Reduction Program is available in <u>Appendix B.</u>

HOSPITAL CONSUMER ASSESSMENT OF HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS AND SYSTEMS

The HCAHPS survey is the first national, standardized, publicly reported survey of patients' perspectives of hospital care. HCAHPS is a survey instrument and data collection methodology for measuring patients' perceptions of their hospital experience. While many hospitals have collected information on patient satisfaction for their own internal use, until HCAHPS there was no national standard for collecting and publicly reporting information about patient experience of care that allowed valid comparisons to be made across hospitals locally, regionally and nationally.

The first 22 questions of the HCAHPS <u>survey</u> are used to grade a hospital's patient satisfaction domain within the Medicare Value-Based Purchasing system. This domain consists of nine dimensions. The dimensions and corresponding measures are outlined below:

Dimension	Questions
Communication with nurses / your care from nurses	1-4
Communication with doctors / your care from doctors	5-7
Cleanliness and quietness of hospital environment / the hospital environment	8-9
Responsiveness of hospital staff / your experiences in hospital	10-11
Communication about medicines / your experiences in hospital	12-14
Discharge information / when you left the hospital	15-17
Overall rating / overall rating of the hospital	18-19
Care transitions / understanding of your care when you left the hospital	20-22
Consistency score	Calculated

Within VBP, the person and community engagement domain has a weighting of 25%. Each of the dimensions has an equal weighting with consistency getting twice the weight (each dimension is 10% of the total score with consistency counting as 20%). Each measure is weighted equally under each dimension (i.e., communication with nurse questions is weighted as 2.5% of the total patient satisfaction score while each overall rating question is weighted as 5% of total score).

MEDICARE PROMOTING INTEROPERABILITY PROGRAM

Electronic clinical quality measures are tools that help measure and track the quality of health care services that eligible professionals, eligible hospitals and critical access hospitals provide, as generated by a provider's electronic health record. Measuring and reporting eCQMs help to ensure that our health care system is delivering effective, safe, efficient, patient-centered, equitable and timely care. eCQMs measure many aspects of patient care, including patient and family engagement, patient safety, care coordination, population/public health, efficient use of health care resources and clinical process/effectiveness.

Health care providers are required to electronically report eCQMs, which use data from EHRs and/or health information technology systems to measure health care quality. To report eCQMs

successfully, health care providers must adhere to the requirements identified by the CMS quality program in which they intend to participate.

Each year, CMS makes updates to the eCQMs approved for CMS programs to reflect changes in evidence-based medicine, code sets and measure logic.

To successfully participate in the Medicare Promoting Interoperability Program, CMS requires EPs, eligible hospitals, CAHs and dual-eligible hospitals to report on eCQMs. These eCQMs are determined by CMS and require use of the 2015 Edition Cures Update criteria in order to meet the CEHRT definition. Review this <u>site</u> for more information on the program.

CY 2024 Reporting Criteria

Eligible hospitals and CAHs that report CQMs electronically for the Promoting Interoperability Program or participate in both the Promoting Interoperability Program and the IQR Program are required to report a total of six eCQMs for all four quarters of CY 2024:

- three of the 12 self-selected eCQMs
- Safe Use of Opioids Concurrent Prescribing eCQM
- Cesarean Birth (required for hospitals with obstetrics departments)
- Severe Obstetric Complications (required for hospitals with obstetrics departments)

The submission period begins two months following the close of CY 2024 and ends Feb. 28, 2025. Information on eCQM specifications is available on the <u>eCQI Information Resource Center</u>.

Short Name	Measure Name
ePC-02	Cesarean birth (mandatory for hospitals with OB department)
ePC-07	Severe obstetric complications (mandatory for hospitals with OB department)
STK-02	Discharged on antithrombotic therapy
STK-03	Anticoagulation therapy for atrial fibrillation/flutter
STK-05	Antithrombotic therapy by end of hospital day 2
VTE-1	Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis
VTE-2	Intensive care unit venous thromboembolism prophylaxis
Safe Use of Opioids	Safe Use of Opioids – Concurrent Prescribing (mandatory)
HH-01	Hospital harm – severe hypoglycemia measure
HH-02	Hospital harm – severe hyperglycemia measure
HH–ORAE	Hospital harm – opioid-related adverse events
GMCS	Global malnutrition composite score

QUALITY PAYMENT PROGRAM

The Quality Payment Program is authorized under the Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act of 2015. Provisions of the QPP rewards high value, high quality Medicare clinicians with payment increases while at the same time reducing payments to those clinicians who aren't meeting performance standards.

Clinicians have two tracks to choose from in the Quality Payment Program based on their practice size, specialty, location or patient population:

- Merit-based Incentive Payment System or
- Advanced Alternative Payment Models

Detailed information about QPP is available on the CMS website.

INITIATIVES

MISSOURI QUALITY TRANSPARENCY MEASURES

The Missouri Quality Transparency Measure Initiative was launched in February 2015. The goal is to communicate the quality outcomes of both individual hospitals and Missouri hospitals as an aggregate. Throughout 2015, state-aggregate quality outcomes were publicly reported on www.focusonhospitals.com. By sharing this information, MHA's goal is to decrease variation among hospitals and identify best practices throughout the state. Beginning in February 2016, hospitals voluntarily reported their facility-specific quality measure data on www.focusonhospitals.com. If a hospital chooses to participate, its quarterly hospital-specific measure data is displayed.

Quality transparency measures for the initiative were selected using a standardized review that assessed each measure for criteria such as financial implications, regulatory effects and state-aggregate current performance. All measures follow national definitions and their conventional reporting rates. Categories include:

- managing chronic diseases
- preventing infections
- preventing harm
- managing readmissions

Detailed information on the measures is available on the MHA website, including:

- <u>Missouri Price Quality Measure Technical Manual</u> provides specifications for Missouri price and quality measures that are included in the transparency initiative
- <u>glossary</u> a snapshot of the measures that includes technical specifications, risk adjustment, rate explanation and importance

VOLUNTARY INITIATIVES

MISSOURI PERINATAL QUALITY COLLABORATIVE AND MATERNAL-CHILD LEARNING AND ACTION NETWORK

MHA leads and facilitates the Missouri Perinatal Quality Collaborative (PQC), which encompasses the Maternal-Child Learning and Action Network (MC LAN) and Missouri's inclusion in the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Alliance for Innovation on Maternal Health patient safety bundles. Missouri birthing hospitals and associated stakeholders currently may participate in several patient safety bundles, with additional quality improvement collaboratives expected to launch in the future.

- MO AIM: Caring for Pregnant and Postpartum Persons with Substance Use Disorder
- MO AIM: Severe Hypertension in Pregnancy
- MO AIM: Obstetrical Hemorrhage
- MO AIM: Cardiac Conditions of Care
- MO AIM: Perinatal Mental Health
- MO NAS: Implementing Eat, Sleep, Console Assessment for Infants affected by SUD

Missouri's PQC/LAN offers opportunities for stakeholders across the care continuum to connect, receive education and training, access subject matter experts and resources, and submit data toward quality improvement activities. It is directly connected to the Missouri Pregnancy-Associated Mortality Review Board and develops actions in response to annual PAMR report recommendations. The following are a few examples.

- Quality Improvement Collaboratives Participants receive intensive technical support and guidance to implement and advance evidence-based practices to improve health outcomes, clinical effectiveness and operational efficiency.
- Virtual and In-person Learning Multiple options are available to connect with other stakeholders across the state and nation, with access to maternal-child field subject matter experts. Platforms are leveraged to support shared learning and cross-sector collaboration.
- **Reports, Toolkits and Other Resources** Supportive materials are developed for high-need topics and are distributed broadly to improve knowledge, reduce stigma and facilitate rapid implementation of evidence-based processes.
- **Research and Pilot Activities** Current examples include The Cuff KitTM project with associated research study, a study of patients' perceptions of maternal mortality, and reviewing standards for postpartum care through one year post-birth.

This work, along with leveraging strong cross-sector partnerships, is designed to incrementally drive change to achieve the vision of "Healthy Moms, Healthy Babies, Healthy Missouri."

ACCREDITATION

THE JOINT COMMISSION

In 1987, The Joint Commission announced its *Agenda for Change*, which outlined a series of major steps designed to modernize the accreditation process. A key component of the *Agenda for Change* was the eventual introduction of standardized core performance measures into the accreditation process. As the vision to integrate performance measurement into accreditation became more focused, the name ORYX® was chosen for the entire initiative. ORYX® is The Joint Commission's performance measurement and improvement initiative, which integrates outcomes and other performance measure data into the accreditation process.

The ORYX® initiative became operational in March of 1999, when performance measurement systems began transmitting data to The Joint Commission on behalf of accredited hospitals. ORYX® measurement requirements are intended to support Joint Commission accredited organizations in their quality improvement efforts.

The initial phase of the ORYX® initiative provided healthcare organizations a great degree of flexibility in terms of the measures that could be reported. Over time, the ORYX® measures have evolved into standardized valid, reliable, and evidence-based quality measures.

The initial CMS/Joint Commission alignment efforts addressed chart-abstracted measures and subsequently both organizations have worked on aligning as closely as possible the electronic clinical quality measures (eCQMs).

The Joint Commission began accepting direct data submission of eCQM data from hospitals with the submission of CY 2017 eCQM data. The Direct Data Submission Platform enables an ORYX eCQM process that simplifies operations and reduces the burden for accredited hospitals while ensuring regulatory compliance and security. Beginning CY 2020 and forward for chart-based measure data, all hospitals utilize the DDS Platform for submission of data for accreditation.

Information regarding measures collected by TJC effective Jan. 1, 2024, can be found on the TJC <u>website</u>.

Source: Specifications Manual for Joint Commission National Quality Measures, version 2024A1.

DNV

On Sept. 26, 2008, CMS approved then DNV GL Healthcare by granting it deeming authority for hospitals. Hospitals accredited by DNV after that date are deemed to be in compliance with the Medicare conditions of participation. Participating hospitals can seek <u>accreditation</u> and <u>certification</u> in specialty programs such as cardiac, advanced orthopedic and stroke care. The company's name changed from DNV GL to DNV in 2021.

APPENDIX A — WEBSITE RESOURCES

QualityNet (<u>https://qualitynet.cms.gov/</u>) is a site developed by CMS to provide health care quality improvement information and resources. The site includes information on the following programs.

- Inpatient Quality Reporting <u>https://qualitynet.cms.gov/inpatient</u>
- Outpatient Quality Reporting <u>https://qualitynet.cms.gov/outpatient</u>
- Inpatient Psychiatric Facility Quality Reporting <u>https://qualitynet.cms.gov/ipf</u>
- PPS-Exempt Cancer Hospital Quality Reporting <u>https://qualitynet.cms.gov/pch</u>
- Value-Based Purchasing <u>https://qualitynet.cms.gov/inpatient/hvbp</u>
- Hospital Readmissions Reduction <u>https://qualitynet.cms.gov/inpatient/hrrp</u>
- Hospital-Acquired Condition Reduction <u>https://qualitynet.cms.gov/inpatient/hac</u>

The Hospital Quality Reporting Secure <u>Portal</u> is the only CMS-approved web source for secure health care communications and data exchange between quality improvement organizations, hospitals, physician offices, nursing homes, end-stage renal disease facilities and data vendors.

Additional web resources include:

Resource	Website Address
CMS Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting Program	https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Initiatives-Patient- Assessment-
	<u>Instruments/HospitalQualityInits/HospitalRHQDAPU</u>
CMS Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting Program	https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Initiatives-Patient- Assessment-
	<u>Assessment-</u> <u>Instruments/HospitalQualityInits/HospitalOutpatientQuality</u> <u>ReportingProgram</u>
CMS Hospital Value-Based Purchasing Program	https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Initiatives-Patient- Assessment-Instruments/Value-Based- Programs/HVBP/Hospital-Value-Based-Purchasing
IQR Hospital Quality Reporting Important Dates and Deadlines	https://qualityreportingcenter.com/globalassets/2023/10/iqr /iqr-important-dates-deadlinesoctober-2023508.pdf
OQR Hospital Important Data-Related Dates, CY 2025 Payment Determination	https://qualityreportingcenter.com/globalassets/2023/08/oq r/hosp_oqr_imptdates_cy25_pymt-final508.pdf
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems	https://www.cms.gov/research-statistics-data-and- systems/research/cahps
	https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Initiatives-Patient- Assessment-
Outpatient and Ambulatom Surgery CALIDS	<u>Instruments/HospitalQualityInits/HospitalHCAHPS</u> https://oascahps.org/
Outpatient and Ambulatory Surgery CAHPS Quality Reporting Center — Tools and resources to assist hospital, inpatient psychiatric facilities, PPS- exempt cancer hospitals and ambulatory surgical centers with quality data reporting	https://www.qualityreportingcenter.com

Resource	Website Address
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality —	https://www.ahrq.gov/
Agency charged with improving the safety and quality	
of America's health care system AHRQ provides	
information and tools regarding:	
Patient Safety Indicators	https://qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/modules/psi_resources.as px#techspecs
Inpatient Quality Indicators	https://qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/modules/iqi_resources.asp x#techspecs
Prevention Quality Indicators	https://qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/modules/pqi_resources.as px#techspecs
Pediatric Quality Indicators	https://qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/modules/pdi_resources.as px#techspecs
Missouri Healthcare-Associated Infection Reporting System	https://health.mo.gov/data/mhirs/
Institute for Healthcare Improvement — Organization whose mission is to improve health and health care worldwide	http://www.ihi.org/
National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, Medicine Vital Signs Report	https://www.nap.edu/catalog/19402/vital-signs-core- metrics-for-health-and-health-care-progress
Medicare Beneficiary Quality Improvement Program	https://www.ruralcenter.org/tasc/mbqip
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Healthcare Safety Network	https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/index.html
Electronic Clinical Quality Improvement (eCQI) Resource Center	https://ecqi.healthit.gov/

APPENDIX B — MEDICARE QUALITY PROGRAM REFERENCE GUIDE

Measure ID Measure Manual Stream Infection Threshold Meadures Standards Standards Standards Standards Odgles Demonstration MU_1** (CABS) Control Line-Associated Univery Text Infection (CALD) (CU and Select Ward) 0.550 0.000 1 Predicted MU_2** (CARD) Cathetie-Associated Univery Text Infection (CALD) (CU and Select Ward) 0.550 0.000 1 Predicted Infection Each MU_2** (CARD) Cathetie-Associated Univery Text Infection (CALD) (CU and Select Ward) 0.520 0.000 1 Predicted Infection Each MU_2** (CARD) Catheties-Associated Univery Text Infection (CALD) (CU and Select Ward) 0.520 0.000 1 Predicted Infection Each MU_2** (CARD) Surgical Stele Infection - Colon 0.717 0.000 Infection Each 2 Stream	Achievement Tireshold ² Benchmark ³ Minimum Standard ⁶ Total Performance Score: Original Domain Weighting 0.559 0.000 1 Predicted Original Domain Weighting 0.550 0.000 1 Predicted Safety 2 0.717 0.000 Infection Done of the Two Standards ⁶ Safety 2 0.717 0.000 Infection Done of the Two Standards ⁶ Safety 2 0.738 0.000 Standards ⁶ 7 0.738 0.000 Standards ⁶ 5 0.738 0.000 Standards ⁶ 7 0.5207% 21.7863% 25 Case Each 25% 01.6491% 93.4002% 25 Case Each 25% 5 96.9499% 98.0319% 25 Case Each 5% 5% 70.42% 8.77.75% Minimum Standards ⁶ 5% 5%
Measure ID Measure Catcington Threshold Banchmark Standards Ordplate Demo MAL_1** (CARD) Central Line-Associated Univers 0.589 0.000 1 Predicted MAL_2** (CARD) Central Line-Associated Univers 0.680 0.000 1 Predicted MAL_2** (CARD) Central Line-Associated Univers 0.580 0.000 1 Predicted MAL_2** (CARD) Central Line-Associated Univers 0.726 0.000 1 Predicted MAL_2** (CARD) Central Line-Associated Univers 0.520 0.004 1 Predicted MAL_2** (CARD) Standards* Standards* 0.727 0.000 1 Predicted MAL_2** (SS - Abd. Hyst.) Sorgial Site Infection - Colon 0.727 0.000 1 Predicted Standards* MAL_4** (SS - Abd. Hyst.) Sorgial Site Infection (AMI) 30-Oxy Mortality Rel (converted to survival rate for VBP) 0.738 0.000 2 Predicted MORT-30-AMI Actor Myccardia Infection (IMI) 30-Oxy Mortality Rel (converted to survival rate for VBP) 0.62305 21.7375 25 Cases Each MORT-30-AMI Actor Myccardia Infection RAMI (INI 30-Oxy Mortality	Threshold Benchmark* Standards* Original Domain Weights 0.589 0.000 1 Predicted 0.580 0.000 1 Predicted 0.726 0.000 1 Predicted 0.520 0.000 1 Predicted 0.717 0.000 al Predicted 0.738 0.000 of the Two 25% 0.738 0.000 standards* Achievement Benchmark* Minimum 96.5490% 90.0733% 25 Cases Each 25% 91.6491% 98.0319% 25 Cases Each 25% 92.5490% 1.81590% Minimum 25% 70.42% 87.775% Standards* 25% 73.42% 87.775% Standards* Person and Community 73.42% 87.97% 32.37% 25%
Mill Communication Control Control Selection Sel	0.650 0.000 1 Predicted Inflection Each Safety 0.726 0.000 1 Predicted Inflection Each Safety 0.727 0.000 1 Predicted Inflection on One of the Two Strates Safety 0.738 0.000 1 Predicted Inflection on One of the Two Strates Safety Achlevement Benchmark* Minimum Standards* Safety 96.94095 90.0319% 25 Cases Each 25% 96.94095 90.0319% Standards* Standards* Achlevement Benchmark* Minimum Standards* Person and Community Engagement 25% 70.42% 87.775% 31.22% Standards*
Note: Military Methodilis-resistant Staphylococcu Acress (MSA) Blod Laboratory-Identified Events 0.728 0.000 Infection Each MAL 5** (LGR) Outside (LGR) 0.520 0.014 0.520 0.014 MAL 5** (LGR) Outside (LGR) 0.520 0.014 0.520 0.014 MAL 5** (LGR) Outside (LGR) 0.737 0.000 Infection Each MAL 5** (LGR) Surgical Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectomy 0.738 0.000 Infection One of the Two Surgical Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectomy 0.738 0.000 Surgical Site Infection One of the Two Surgical Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectomy 0.738 0.000 Surgical Site Infection One of the Two Surgical Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectomy 0.738 0.000 Surgical Site Infection One of the Two Surgical Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectomy 0.738 0.000 Surgical Site Infection - Surgical Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectomy 0.738 0.000 Surgical Site Infection - Surgical Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectomy 0.738 0.000 Surgical Site Infection - Surgical Site	0.726 0.000 Infection Each Safety S
Mail =** Control Doct	0.520 0.014 Safety 0.727 0.000 3 Predicted infection on One of the Two Strate Safety 0.728 0.000 3 Predicted infection on One of the Two Strate Safety Achievement Benchmerk ² Minimum Strate Standards ⁴ BL 25065 90.7733% Standards ⁴ Clinical Outcomes 91.649156 93.0907% 25 Cases Each 25% 92.5390% 1.61590% Person and Community Threshold ² Person and Community Engagement 25%
Measure ID Measure Translold Distriction (DSI) Measure Translold Anno Infection of the Two Infection of	Achievement B4.021% Benchmert ² B4.021% Minimum Strate Clinical Outcomes 0.717 0.000 IPredicted Infection on One of the Two Strate 25% Achievement Threshold ^a Benchmert ² B4.021% Minimum Strate Clinical Outcomes 91.6493% 93.030% 25 Cases Each 25% 96.9499% 98.0319% 25 Cases Each 25% Achievement Threshold ^a Benchmert ² Benchmert ² Minimum Standards Person and Construmity Engagement 25%
Pooled Surgical Site Infection (SSI) Measure**: 0.737 0.000 IPredicted Infection on One of the Two of	0.717 0.000 1 Predicted Infection on One Strate 25% 0.738 0.000 Strate 25% Achievement Benchmert ² Minimum Standards ² Clinical Outcomes 05.9247% 90.7733% 25 Cases Each 25% 95.9492% 90.0313% 25 Cases Each 25% 96.9492% 1.81590% Minimum Standards ³ 25% Achievement Benchmert ² Minimum Standards ³ Person and Community Engagement 25%
HAJ-9**(221 - Colon) Surgical Site Infection - Colon 0.737 0.000 Infection on One of the Two Strain Infection on One of the Two Strain MAI-4** (221 - Abd. Hyst.) Surgical Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectomy 0.738 0.000 Strain Measure ID Measure Description Achievembols* Benchmark* Minimum Strain MORT-30-4MI Acute Myocardial Infection (AMI) 30-Day Mortality Rate (converted to survival rate for VBP) 86.0221% 80.7533% 80.7733% MORT-30-4PI Heart Tailune (IP) 30-Day Mortality Rate (converted to survival rate for VBP) 86.0221% 82.7863% 22.7863% MORT-30-4PI Heart Tailune (IP) 30-Day Mortality Rate (converted to survival rate for VBP) 80.0221% 82.72870% 25 Cases Each MORT-30-COPD Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) 30-Day Mortality Rate (converted to survival rate for VBP) 80.0325% 92.0329% 25 Cases Each COMP-4IP=-KNEE* Contrary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG) 30-Day Mortality Rate (converted to survival rate for VBP) 92.0329% 92.0329% 25 Cases Each COMP-4IP=-KNEE* Contrary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG) 30-Day Mortality Rate (converted to survival rate for VBP) 92.0420% 92.0329% 25 Cases Each	0.717 0.000 1 Predicted Infection on One of the Two Strata 0.738 0.000 Strata Achievement Threshold ⁵ Benchmark ² 80.733% Minimum Standards ⁶ Clinical Outcomes 36.9247% 82.7863% Clinical 90.0733% Clinical Outcomes Clinical Outcomes 36.9247% 87.2976% 25 Cases Each 25% 25% 96.9499% 98.0319% 25 Cases Each 25% 25% 2.5390% 1.81590% Minimum Standards ⁴ Person and Convenuity Engagement 25% Person and Convenuity Engagement 25%
MAL-R* (SI - Abd. Hyst.) Surgical Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectomy 0.738 0.000 Streta Measure ID Measure Description Addievensent Threahold* Benchmart* Benchmart* Minimum Standard* MORT-30-AMI Acute Myocardial Infection (AMI) 30-Day Mortality Rate (converted to survival rate for VBP) 86.20215 87.25765 90.7733% MORT-30-AMI Acute Myocardial Infection (AMI) 30-Day Mortality Rate (converted to survival rate for VBP) 86.20215 87.25765 90.7733% MORT-30-AMI Measure (DB bitructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) 30-Day Mortality Rate (converted to survival rate for VBP) 86.02215 87.25765 91.64915	0.738 0.000 Strets Achievement Threshold* Benchmark* Minhnum Standards* Minhnum Standards* 80.2305% 90.7733% 82.7863% Clinical Outcomes 91.64025% 93.4002% 25.Cases Each 25% 96.9499% 98.0319% 25.Cases Each 25% Achievement Threshold* Benchmark* Minhnum Standards* Person and Community Engagement 25%
Measure ID Measure Description Threahold* Deschart/* Standards* MORT-30-AMI Acute Myocardial Inferction (AMI) 30-Day Montality Rate (converted to survival rate for VBP) BC.9247% BC.7835% BC.7835% BC.7735% BC.7735% BC.7735% BC.7735% BC.7735% BC.7735% BC.7735% BC.7735% BC.2215%	Threshold Beachmark* Standards* Bit 0947% Bit 7863% Standards* Bit 2947% Bit 7863% Outcomes Bit 2903% Bit 7976% Standards* Sit 64025% 93.4002% 25 Cases Each Sit 64025% 98.0319% 25 Cases Each Sit 64025% Beachmark* Minimum Standards* Person and Construity Fraggement 73.63% Beachmark* Minimum Standards*
MORT-30-AMI Acute Wyoardial Inferction (AMI) 30-Day Mortality Rate (converted to survival rate for VBP) 88.0247% 98.7863% 98.7853% 98.7853% 98.7853% 98.7853% 98.7853% 98.7853% 98.7853% 98.7853% 98.735%	Achievement Threshold* Benchmark* 87.257% Minknum Standards Person and Community Engagement 79.42% Person and 87.75%
MORT-30-COPD VBF) 92.6493% 93.4002% 25 Cases Each 26 Cases Each 26 Cases Each 26 Cases Each 27 Cases Each <	BL 2303% 90.7733% Clinical BL 0231% 87.2370% 25 Cases Each 25 Cases Each 25 Cases Each 96.9499% 98.0319% 25 Cases Each 25% 25% Achlevement Benchmark ³ Minimum Person and Covenuntly 729.42% 87.73% 70.57% 5% 25% 25%
MORT-30-COPD VBP) 91.6493% 93.6493%	B4.02215k 87-2976% Chical Chical <thchical< th=""> <thchical< th=""> <thchica< td=""></thchica<></thchical<></thchical<>
MORT-30-COPD VBP) 01.6403 % 03.6403 % 03.6403 % 25 Case Each 25 Mort - 50-CABG Corrently Artery Byzess Gridt (CABG) 30-Dey Mortality Rate (convected to survival rate for VBP) 05.9409 % 08.0319 % 25 Case Each 26 Case	B4.02215k 87-2976% Chical Chical <thchical< th=""> <thchical< th=""> <thchica< td=""></thchica<></thchical<></thchical<>
MORT-30-COPD VBP) 01.6403 % 03.6403 % 03.6403 % 25 Case Each 25 Mort - 50-CABG Corrently Artery Byzess Gridt (CABG) 30-Dey Mortality Rate (convected to survival rate for VBP) 05.9409 % 08.0319 % 25 Case Each 26 Case	91.6491% 93.4002% 25 Cases Each 25% 96.9490% 98.0319% 25 Cases Each 25% Achievement Threshold ^a Benchmark ² 87.71% Minimum Standards Person and Community Engagement 79.83%
MORT-33-CABG rate for VRP) SEC3400% SEC3400% SEC310% SEC310% <thsec310%< td="" th<=""><td>2.5390% 1.61590% Achievement Threshold³ Benchmark² Standards⁴ Minimum Standards⁴ 724.42% 87.21% Community Engagement 29.55%</td></thsec310%<>	2.5390% 1.61590% Achievement Threshold ³ Benchmark ² Standards ⁴ Minimum Standards ⁴ 724.42% 87.21% Community Engagement 29.55%
COMP-HIP-KNEE* Arthropienty (TKA) 2.3390% 1.61590% Period a Measure ID Measure Description Floor* Arthropienty Benchmark* Minimum Standards* Communication with Nurse* 53.50% 79.42% 87.73% 87.73% 87.93% 2530% 23.90% 1.00 Surveys Example to the standards* 62.41% 79.43% 87.73% 87.73% 79.75% 2530% 23.90% 100 Surveys 2530% 253.90% <td>Achievement Benchmark² Minimum Threshold² Benchmark² Standards⁴ Person and 79,42% 87,71% Community 73,83% 87,97% 25%</td>	Achievement Benchmark ² Minimum Threshold ² Benchmark ² Standards ⁴ Person and 79,42% 87,71% Community 73,83% 87,97% 25%
Measure Do Measure Description Ploof Threshold* Benchmark* Standards* Person a Communication with Nurses* 53.50% 79.42% 87.73% 87.73% 67.73% 87.97% <td>Threshold Benchmark Standards Person and 79.42% 87.71% Community Engagement 79.33% 87.97% 25% 25%</td>	Threshold Benchmark Standards Person and 79.42% 87.71% Community Engagement 79.33% 87.97% 25% 25%
Measure Do Measure Description Ploof Threshold* Benchmark* Standards* Person a 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Threshold Benchmark Standards Person and 72.42% 87.71% Community Engagement 79.33% 87.97% 25% 25%
Engagem Commutation with Numest 255,00% 72,42,5% 01,72,5% Engagem 255,00% 72,42,5% 01,72,5% 02,53% 01,72,5% 02,53% 03,53% 03,53% 03,53% <td>79.83% 87.97% Engagement 25%</td>	79.83% 87.97% Engagement 25%
Discharge information* 66.92% 87.23% 92.21% Overall Rating of Hospital* 36.31% 71.66% 85.39% CTM-3 3-litem Case Transitions Measure* 25.64% 51.24% 63.57%	79.65% 87.97% 25%
Discharge information 66.92% 87.23% 92.21% Overall Rating of Hospital" 36.31% 71.66% 85.39% CTM-3 3-item Care Transition Measure" 25.64% 51.34% 63.57%	65.52% 81.22%
Discharge information 66.92% 87.23% 92.21% Overall Rating of Hospital" 36.31% 71.66% 85.39% CTM-3 3-item Care Transition Measure" 25.64% 51.34% 63.57%	
Discharge information* 66.92% 87.23% 92.21% Overall Rating of Hospital* 36.31% 71.66% 85.39% CTM-3 3-item Care Transition Measure* 25.64% 51.24% 63.57%	63.11% 74.05% 100.5 mm
Discharge information* 66.92% 87.23% 92.21% Overall Rating of Hospital* 36.31% 71.66% 85.39% CTM-3 3-litem Case Transitions Measure* 25.64% 51.24% 63.57%	65.63% 79.64%
CTM-3 3-Item Caro Transitions Measure 25.64% 51.54% 63.57%	87.23% 92.21%
CTM-3 3-Bam Care Transitions Messure 25.64% 51.84% 63.57%	71.66% 85.39%
	51.84% 63.57% Effective
Achievement Reachands Minimum and Co	Achievement Bunchmark ² Minimum and Cost
Threabold Demonstrate Standards 25%	Threshold Standards Reduction
Median Ratio of Mean Ratio of	Median Ratio Mean Ratio of
MSPB-1* Spending Per Hospital Patient With Medicare Across All Lowest Dedie 25 Cases	
Hospitals of Hospitals	Across All Lowest Dedle 25 Cases

These performance periods are impacted by the extraordinary droumstances exception granted by CMS in response to the PHE so no claims data or chart-abstracted data reflecting services provided January 1, 2020 - June 30, 2020 will be used in calculations for the VBP Program.

The Affordable Care Act (ACA) of 2010 mandated the implementation of an inpatient hospital value-based purchasing (VBP) Program. The VBP Program is a pay-for-performance program that links Medicare payment to quality performance for acute care hospitals paid under the Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS). Under the VBP Program, using quality data grouped into quality domains, hospitals can earn points to wards a Total Performance Score (TPS). The TPS will serve as the basis for determining hospital's VBP payments or gain/loss under the program. In calculating the TPS, the scoring methodology provides points to hospitals that achieve high quality standards as well as points to hospitals that improve in the quality measures evaluated. As required by the ACA, a pool of funds, to be redistributed to hospitals based on their TPS, will be funded through an across-the-board reduction to Medicare IPPS base operating payments. The reduction has been capped at 2.0%. Critical Access Hospitals (CAR), hospitals in Maryland and Puerto Riso, and small hospitals with insufficient numbers of measures are excluded from the program.

¹The Achievement Threshold is the minimum performance standard for each measure and reflects the median performance score (50th percentile) for all hospitals in the nation during the baseline period. The threshold is used in combination with other factors to calculate hospital-specific achievement points.

²The Benchmark is the top performance standard for each measure and reflects the average performance score for the top 10% of all hospitals in the nation during the baseline period. The benchmark is used in combination with other factors to calculate hospital-specific achievement and improvement points.

⁴The Floor is for Person and Community Engagement measures only and each measure reflects the lowest measure score in the nation during the baseline period. The floor is used in combination with other factors to calculate hospital-specific consistency points.

⁴Hospitals must meet minimum case and survey counts to be included in the VBP Program. In addition to the case count criteria, hospitals must have a minimum of 2 measures to obtain a Clinical Outcomes Domain score, 2 measures to obtain a Safety domain score and 1 measure to obtain an Efficiency and Cost Reduction domain score.

⁵The Domain Weight is a weight applied to each domain to calculate a hospital-specific TPS. A hospital's weighted TPS is compared to TPSs for all hospitals to determine the hospital-specific gain or loss under the program. If hospitals do not meet the minimum requirements on one or more domain, the other domains are proportionately reweighted to determine a TPS. Hospitals are required to be scored on 3 of the 4 domains to be eligible for the program.

⁶The Baseline Period is a specified period for which quality data will be evaluated. The baseline period data is used for determining the floors, achievement thresholds, and benchmarks (excluding the efficiency measure) and is also used in combination with other factors to calculate hospital-specific improvement points.

²The Performance Period is a specified period for which quality data will be evaluated. The performance period data is used in combination with other factors to calculate hospital-specific achievement and improvement points.

*For these measures, lower scores are better.

**The final SSI measure score is an aggregate of the calculated scores for HAI-3 and HAI-4, which are then weighted based on the predicted infections for each measure. For purposes of domain eligibility, CMS considers the two SSI measures as a single measure.

***Performance standards for the MSPB-1 measure are based on the performance period and are not released in advance of the program.

*More than Medicare Fee-For-Service patients are included in measure population.

Materia D Materia D Addresses Addresses <t< th=""><th></th><th></th></t<>		
MSL ^{**} Califier in Medical divery the infection (SURI) (SURI) does and set Week) O.200 O.000 1 Predical diversity in Medical Medical diversity in Medical Bioleckine din Medical Bioleckine divers		hting
MU, 2* Califier in Medical Chief Press Construction and State S		1"
MUL 3* MICL3* Methodizene lister isophisponse. Annexe (MICA) Blood Laboratory-identified Events 0.226 0.020 Infection Exel (according and the list of the l		
MML #* "Learning Lowering and Hilds (C.d.H.) O.S.D. O.D.H. MML #* "Lowering Link weakers (Standards ** "Standards ** "S		
Process Comparison betweeneng (DS) Measures *** Control Control<		
MAX-** (DR - Color) Surgial Site Infection - Color 0.777 0.000 If infection color of infection (Mileston Color) MAX-** (DR - Abd. Hyst.) Surgial Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectury 0.738 0.000 If infection color of infection (Mileston Kall) Surgial Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectury 0.738 0.000 If infection color of infection (Mileston Kall) Surgial Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectury 0.738 0.000 If infection color of infection (Mileston Kall) Surgial Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectury 0.738 0.000 If infection color of infection (Mileston Kall) Surgial Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectury 0.738 0.000 If infection color of infection (Mileston Kall) Surgial Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectury 0.738 0.000 If infection color of infection (Mileston Kall) Surgial Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectury 0.738 0.000 If infection color of infection (Mileston Kall) Surgial Site Infection - Surgial Site Infection - Surgial Site Infection (Mileston Kall Infection - Color - Surgial Site Infection Infection (Mileston Kall Infection - Color - Surgial Site Infection - Surgial		^
Inst-4*** Surgical Site Infection - Addressing 0.738 0.000 State Measure D Measure D Addressing Addressing Maintain State Minimum MORT-30-AMI Acknowledge Maintain State Minimum State Minimum MORT-30-AMI Acknowledge Maintain State Minimum State Minimum MORT-30-AMI Acknowledge Maintain State Minimum State Minimum MORT-30-AMI Acknowledge Minimum State Minimum State Minimum MORT-30-CMP Presented (MIN) SOCIA Montally Rate (converted to survival rets for VIP) State State State Minimum State State <td< td=""><td></td><td></td></td<>		
Measure D Measure Activity Description These body Readmank ² Standards ⁴ MOIT-30-MI Activity Description (MI) SO-Day Monality Rate (converted to survival rate for VIP) SIZ-20556		80
Month - 30- AMI Acute Myocardial Inferction (AMI) 30-Day Mortality Rate (converted to survival rate for VBP) Rescuber 20025 Standards Standards MORT-30- PMI Hest Tabler (VIP) 20025 Standards Standards Control Standards Control Control Standards Control Standards Control Control Control Control Control Standards Control		
MORT-33-CADD Yar) 93.2226		7
MORT-33-CADD Yar) 93.2226		
MORT-30-C000 YWP S2200 V22105 S22105 S22205 S2205 S22205 S2205 S2205 S2205 S2205 S2205 S2205 S2205 S2205 S2205		
MORT-33-CADD Yar) 93.2226		
MORT-30-CAMG Concreative Arterny Repress Graft (CASG) 30-Day Montality Rate (converted to survival rate for VPD) 97.0100% 97.9775% COMP-410-EXE® Complication Rate Pollowing Elective Primary Total Hip Attropisety (THA) and/or Total Knee 2.5332% 1.7346% Measure ID Measure Description Floor Achieveneed Benchmeet ² Minimum Standards Benchmeet ² Communication with Doctors' 53.50% 79.42% 87.73% Communication with Doctors' Communication with Doctors' 62.43% 79.23% 87.73% Communication with Doctors' 0.53.7%		60
COMP-HEP-KNEX* Arthropienty (TKA) 2:35375 1.7946% Messure ID Messure Description Floor* Addressmant Benchmark* Minimum Basponisessa (Hoghts) Communication with Norsa* 53:50% 79:42% 87:73% Communication with Norsa* 63:52% 87:23% 87:73% Communication with Norsa* Communication with Norsa* 62:41% 79:42% 87:73% Communication with Norsa* 63:52% 87:23% 87:73% Communication with Norsa* Communication with Norsa* 63:52% 87:23% 87:73% Communication with Norsa* Communication with Norsa* 63:52% 87:23% 97:23% 100 Surveys Height Cleaning information* Obtaining information* G6:40% 65:35% 72:23% 100 Surveys 25:5% Other and the did news* Spending Per Hospital Patient With Medicare Median Ratio Main Num Standards* 25:5% Missoure ID Messure Description Achievement Benchmark* Minimum Spending Per Hospital Patient With Medicare 20:4% 20:4% 20:5% 20:4% 20:5% 20:4% 20:4% 20:4% 20:4% 20:4% 20:4% 2		
Measure ID Measure ID Measure ID Measure ID Measure ID Measure ID Person and Communication with Nontration With Doctors* 93.02% 97.12%<		50
Measure ID Measure ID Measure ID Measure ID Measure ID Measure ID Person and Communication with Nontration With Doctors* 93.02% 97.12%<		
Cite		40
Community Construction Cons		
Cite	4 I I	
Cite		
Cite		- 30
Cite		
Community Construction Cons		
CTM-3 Schem Care Transitions Measure* 25.64% 51.84% 63.57% Measure ID Measure Description Achievement Threshold* Benchmark* Minimum Standard* MS78-1* Spending Per Hospital Patient With Medicare Median Ratio Across All Across All Across All Motification and and and and and and and and and an		20
Measure ID Measure Description Addievement Threshold Benchmark Minkmum Standards MSPD-1* Spending Per Hospital Petient With Medicare Median Ratio Across All Hospitals Mean Ratio of Lowest Decile 25 Cases 2023 2023 2023 2023 2023 2023 2023 2023 2024 <		
Measure ID Measure Description Threshold Benchmark Standards MSPD-1* Spending Per Hospital Petient With Medicare Median Ratio Across All Hospitals Mean Ratio of Lowest Decile 25 Cases 2023 2015 2016 2017 2018 2018 2018 2023 2023 2023 2016 2018 2019 2018 2010 2018 2023 2023 2023 2023 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2023 2023 2023 2023 2023 2019 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2023 2023 2023 2023 2024 2019 2014<		
Actos 1 Spending Per Hospital Petient With Medicare Median Ratio Actos 34 Hospitals ^{***} Mean Ratio of Lowert Docide of Hospitals ^{***} Mean Ratio Lowert Docide of Hospitals ^{***} Mean Ratio and Lowert Docide of Hospitals ^{***} Mean Ratio Clowert Doc		
MS2B_1* Spending Per Hospital Patient With Medicare Median Ratio Across Att Hospitals Mean Ratio of Lowest Decilie of Hospitals 25 Cases Line 2015 2016 2018		- 10
Image: Clinical Outcomes (Miortality): Clinical Outcomes (Miortality): Clinical Outcomes (Miortality): FFY 2 Clinical Outcomes (Miortality): Clinical Outcomes (Miortality): Clinical Outcomes (Miortality): FFY 2 Clinical Outcomes (Miortality): Clinical Outcomes (Miortality): FFY 2 Clinical Outcomes (Miortality): Clinical Outcomes (Miortality): FFY 2 Clinical Outcomes (Miortality): Sately: Sately: Sately: Sately: Sately: Sately: Sately: Sately:		
2015 2017 2018 2019 2010 2012 2022 2023 2024 In the internet of the inter		
2015 3034 2017 2018 2019 3010 2021 2023 2034 DEPENDIO DEPENDENDE ENTRE EN		۵.
Image:	9495	
Image: Second	1111	
Image: Second Control (Second Control (Second Second Se		
Image: Chrical Outcomes (Montality): Image: Chrical Outcomes (Chrical Outcomes (Chri		
Clinical Outcomes (Montality): Baseline Period* Image: Second Period* Parton Parton Clinical Outcomes (Montality): Baseline Period* Image: Second Period* Image: Second Period* Performance Period* Clinical Outcomes (COMP-HEP-KNEE): Baseline Period* Image: Second Period* Image: Second Period* Second Period* Image: Second Period* Image: Second Period* Image: Second Period* Image: Second Period* Second Period*		
Image: Classical Outcomest (COM/FHEP-KMEE): Image: Classical Outcomest(COM/FHEP-KMEE): Image: Classical Outcomest (COM/FHE		E
Chrical Outcomes (COMP-HP-RHER): Basellos Parlos [®] Safety: Basellos Par		
Clinical Outcomes (COMP-HEP-KNER): Baseline Period* Image: Period Period* Image: Period Period Period* Period Period Period Period* Image: Period* Safety: Baseline Period* Safety: Baseline Period* Image: Period* Safety: Period Period* Image: Period* Safety: Period Period*	25	F
Adjust		
Baseline Partod" Partod" Partod"	ent	L
Baseline Period" Period" Period		
Efficiency and Cost		
		F
Reduction: Reduction:		
Baseline Pariod [®] Pariod		

Medicare Quality Programs Reference Guide Value Based Purchasing (VBP) Overview: FFY 2025 Program

⁴These performance periods are impacted by the extraordinary circumstances exception granted by CMS in response to the PHE so no claims data or chart-abstracted data reflecting services provided January 1, 2020-June 30, 2020 will be used in calculations for the VDP Program.

The Affordable Care Act (ACA) of 2010 mandated the implementation of an inpatient hospital value-based purchasing (VBP) Program. The VBP Program is a pay-for-performance program that links Medicare payment to quality performance for acute care hospitals paid under the inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS). Under the VBP Program, using quality data grouped into quality domains, hospitals can earn points towards a Total Performance Score (TPS). The TPS will serve as the basis for determining hospitals' VBP payments or gain/loss under the program. In calculating the TPS, the scoring methodology provides points to hospitals that achieve high quality standards as well as points to hospitals that improve in the quality measures evaluated. As required by the ACA, a pool of funds, to be redistributed to hospitals based on their TPS, will be funded through an across-the-board reduction to Medicare IPPS base operating payments. The reduction has been capped at 2.0%. Critical Access Hospitals (CAHs), hospitals in Maryland and Puerto Rico, and small hospitals with insufficient numbers of measures and/or cases are excluded from the program.

³The Achievement Threshold is the minimum performance standard for each measure and reflects the median performance score (50th percentile) for all hospitals in the nation during the baseline period. The threshold is used in combination with other factors to calculate hospital-specific achievement points.

²The Benchmark is the top performance standard for each measure and reflects the average performance score for the top 10% of all hospitals in the nation during the baseline period. The benchmark is used in combination with other factors to calculate hospital-specific achievement and improvement points.

⁸The Floor is for Person and Community Engagement measures only and each measure reflects the lowest measure score in the nation during the baseline period. The floor is used in combination with other factors to calculate hospital-specific consistency points.

⁴Hospitals must meet minimum case and survey counts to be included in the VBP Program. In addition to the case count criteria, hospitals must have a minimum of 2 measures to obtain a Clinical Outcomes. Domain score, 2 measures to obtain a Safety domain score and 1 measure to obtain an Efficiency and Cost Reduction domain score.

⁵The Domain Weight is a weight applied to each domain to calculate a hospital-specific TPS. A hospital's weighted TPS is compared to TPSs for all hospitals to determine the hospital-specific gain or loss under the program. If hospitals do not meet the minimum requirements on one or more domain, the other domains are proportionately reweighted to determine a TPS. Hospitals are required to be scored on 3 of the 4 domains to be eligible for the program.

⁶The Baseline Period is a specified period for which quality data will be evaluated. The baseline period data is used for determining the floors, achievement thresholds, and benchmarks (excluding the efficiency measure) and is also used in combination with other factors to calculate hospital-specific improvement points.

³The Performance Period is a specified period for which quality data will be evaluated. The performance period data is used in combination with other factors to calculate hospital-specific achievement and improvement points.

*For these measures, lower scores are better.

**The final SSI measure score is an aggregate of the calculated scores for HAI-3 and HAI-4, which are then weighted based on the predicted infections for each measure. For purposes of domain eligibility, CMS considers the two SSI measures as a single measure.

*** Performance standards for the MSPB-1 measure are based on the performance period and are not released in advance of the program.

*More than Medicare Fee-For-Service patients are included in measure population.

Disconder 2023 DataGer*, Inc.

2 of 6

Value Based Purchasing (VBP) Overview: FFY 2026 Program

Measures, Performance Standards, Evaluation Periods, and Other Program Details for the FFY 2026 VBP Program

	Measure ID	/ 2026 is the first year of the Health Equity Adjustment (HEA) bonus po Measure Description	nts. More deta	Achievement	Benchmark ²	Minimum		otal Performano Iginal Domain W	a Sce	
				Threshold		Standards				100
	HAL_1* (CLABSI)	Central Line-Associated Blood Stream Infection (CLABSI) (ICJ and Select Wards)		0.760	0.000					
	HAL2* (CAUTI)	Catheter-Associated Uninary Tract Infection (CAUTI) (ICU and Select Wards)		0.615	0.000	1 Predicted				
2	HAL_S** (MRSA)	Methicilin-resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) Blood Laboratory-Identified D	rents	0.793	0.000	Infection Each				
	HALE** (C.dff)	Clostridium difficile (C.diff.)		0.423	0.000		111-1	Safety	H	90%
- 66	Pooled Surgical Site Infectio	n (SSI) Measure**:					411.1	25%		
	HAI-3** (SSI - Colon)	Surgical Site Infection - Colon		0.747	0.000	1 Predicted Infection on				
	HAI-4** (SSI - Abd. Hyst.)	Surgical Site Infection - Abdominal Hysterectomy		0.763	0.000	One of the Two Strata	1 H		Η	80%
	567-1	Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock: Management Bundle		0.597482	0.843620	25 Cases	101			
	Measure ID	Measure Description		Achievement Threshold ²	Benchmark ²	Minimum Standards ⁴				70%
	MORT-30-AMI	Acute Myocardial Infantion (AMI) 30-Day Mortality Rate (converted to survival rat	(action)	87.4426%	89.0687%	and the second	1 II I			
	MORT-30-HIF	Heart Failure (HF) 30-Day Mortality Rate (converted to survival rate for VDP)	a na ana	88.5949%	91.2874%			Clinical		
1	MORT-30-PN	Pneumonia (PN) 30-Day Mortality Rate (converted to survival rate for VDP)		84,3369%	87,7097%			Outcomes		
ő	and the second sec	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) 30-Day Mortality Rate (converted	to survival rate for					25%		60%
ical O utcomes	MORT-30-COPD	VBP)		91.4691%	93.2157%	25 Cases Each				
6	MORT-30-CABG	Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG) 30-Day Mortality Rate (converted to survival rate for VDP)		97.0568%	98.0473%					50%
	COMP-HEP-KNEE*	Complication Rate Following Elective Primary Total Hip Arthroplasty (THA) and/or Arthroplasty (TKA)	Total Knee	2.4019%	1.6873%					
				Achievement		Minimum				
Person and Community Engagement	Measure ID	Measure Description	Floor ³	Threshold ¹	Benchmark ²	Standards ⁴	lΗ	Person and	Η	40%
2 -		Communication with Numes	55.23%	76.41%	85.57%			Community Engagement		
68		Communication with Doctors*	58.04%	76.83%	85.93%			25%		
n and Comm Engagement		Responsiveness of Hospital Staff	36.52%	59.56%	77.19%		ШH			30%
22		Communication about Medicines	39.27%	58.06%	70.11%	100 Surveys				
- 21		Hospital Clean liness & Quietness*	38.59%	62.61%	77.49%	abo surveys				
8		Discharge information	63.22%	85.54%	91.10%	1				
5		Overall Rating of Hospital*	31.58%	67.59%	83,16%	1	LIE		\vdash	20%
•	CTM-3	3-Item Care Transitions Measure*	19.98%	48.55%	60.85%	1				
		Sheri Care natecone measure					: 11 1	Efficiency		
1	Measure ID	Measure Description		Achievement Threshold ²	Benchmark ²	Minimum Standards ⁴		and Cost Reduction		10%
				Median Ratio	Mean Ratio of		1111	25%		
	MSPD-1*	Spending Per Hospital Patient With Medicare		Across All	Lowest Dedle	25 Cases				
83	Marter.			Hospitals	of Hospitals	20 Calles				0%
	016 2017	2014 2019 2020 2021	2022	202		2024	2025		1026	
									114	
			Person and Community			son and mounity				
			Engrapement			gement:				
			Baseline Perio			ance Period				
								-		E
		omes (Mortality):	Clinical Ou	atcomes (Mortali	ty):					
	Baseli	ne Period ⁴	Perfo	rmance Period				FFY 202		
	all days for the second second							Paymer	-	
	Clinical Outcomes (CC		Inical Outcomes		1 :					
	Baseline Pe	inod"	Performan	ce Period				Adjustme	m	
			Safety:			week:				
			Baseline Perk	od		ormance				
<u> </u>			Efficiency and C			cried [®]		-		F
			Reductions			action:				
			Beseline Perio			ance Period				

The Affordable Care Act (ACA) of 2010 mandated the implementation of an inpatient hospital value-based purchasing (VBP) Program. The VBP Program, is a pay-for-performance program that links Medicare payment to quality performance for acute care hospitals paid under the inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS). Under the VBP Program, using quality data grouped into quality standards as well as points to hospitals that improve in the quality measures evaluated. As required by the ACA, a pool of funds, to be redistributed to hospitals issued on their TPS, will be funded through an across-the-board reduction to Medicare IPPS base operating payments. The reduction has been capped at 2.0%. Critical Access Hospitals (CAHA), hospitals in Maryland and Puyetor Rico, and small hospitals with insufficient rumbers of measures and/or cases are excluded from the program.

³The Achievement Threshold is the minimum performance standard for each measure and reflects the median performance score (50th percentile) for all hospitals in the nation during the baseline period. The threshold is used in combination with other factors to calculate hospital-specific achievement points.

²The Benchmark is the top performance standard for each measure and reflects the average performance score for the top 10% of all hospitals in the nation during the baseline period. The benchmark is used in combination with other factors to calculate hospital-specific achievement and improvement points.

¹The Floor is for Person and Community Engagement measures only and each measure reflects the lowest measure score in the nation during the baseline period. The floor is used in combination with other factors to calculate hospital-specific consistency points.

⁴Hospitals must meet minimum case and survey counts to be included in the VBP Program. In addition to the case count criteria, hospitals must have a minimum of 2 measures to obtain a Clinical Outcomes Domain score, 2 measures to obtain a Safety domain score and 1 measure to obtain an Efficiency and Cost Reduction domain score.

⁵The Domain Weight is a weight applied to each domain and combined with HEA bonus points to calculate a hospital-specific TPS. A hospital's weighted TPS is compared to TPSs for all hospitals to determine the hospital-specific gain or loss under the program. If hospitals do not meet the minimum requirements on one or more domain, the other domains are proportionately reweighted to determine a TPS. Hospitals are required to be scored on 3 of the 4 domains to be eligible for the program.

*The Baseline Period is a specified period for which quality data will be evaluated. The baseline period data is used for determining the floors, achievement thresholds, and benchmarks (excluding the efficiency measure) and is also used in combination with other factors to calculate hospital-specific improvement points.

²The Performance Period is a specified period for which quality data will be evaluated. The performance period data is used in combination with other factors to calculate hospital-specific achievement and improvement points.

*For these measures, lower scores are better.

**The final SSI measure score is an aggregate of the calculated scores for HAI-3 and HAI-4, which are then weighted based on the predicted infections for each measure. For purposes of domain eligibility, CMS considers the two SSI measures as a single measure.

"Performance standards for the MSPB-1 measure are based on the performance period and are not released in advance of the program.

*More than Medicare Fee-For-Service patients are included in measure population.

Oliveraber 2023 DataGen*, Inc.

Value Based Purchasing (VBP) General Program Methodology

Hospital Scoring Methods and Other Program Details for the VBP Program

As required by the ACA, VBP eligible hospitals contribute a set percentage of their Medicare IPPS base operating payments to a national VBP pool of dollars. All VBP pool dollars are then paid out, in full, based on each hospital's performance. Hospitals are evaluated on a measure by measure basis and receive a score of 0-10 on each measure where they meet each measure's minimum requirement. Next, similar measures are grouped into domains and overall domain scores are calculated based on the average measure score in the domain. Domain scores are then combined to find a Total Performance Score (TPS). The TPS serves as the basis for determining hospitals' VBP payments or gain/loss. Using all program-eligible hospitals' Total Performance Scores, CMS calculates a VBP slope that redistributes all VBP contributions and makes the program budget neutral nationally. Each hospitals' TPS multiplied by the slope determines payout percentages. The program methodology is shown below:



Person and Community Engagement - Consistency Points Calculation

In addition to individual measure scores, the Person and Community Engagement domain scores hospitals based on how consistently they perform across all measures within the domain. Each hospital can receive between 0-20 consistency points based on the measure with the lowest Consistency Multiplier calculated as shown below:

Consistency Points (person and community engagement) = [20 x Lowest Measure Consistency Points Multiplier] - 0.50

Consistency Points Multiplier (person and community engagement) = [Performance Period Score - Floor Achievement Threshold - Floor

FPY 2025s: Health Equity Adjustment (HEA) Bonus Point

FFY 2026+: Hospitals will be awarded for excellent care in underserved populations. If a hospital is in the top third, middle third, or bottom third of performance of all hospitals within a domain, the hospital will be awarded 4, 2, or 0 points, respectively. The sum of these points are called the "measure performance scaler". The maximum amount of points a hospital can receive is 16 (12 if they are eligible for only 3 of the 4 domains). The "underserved multiplier" is the number of inpatient stays for dual eligible patients out of the total inpatient Medicare stays during the calendar year 2 years prior to the start of the respective program year. Dual eligible patients are identified using the State Medicare Modernization Act file of dual eligible beneficiaries. CMS will use a logistic exchange function to calculate the underserved multiplier to determine the HEA bonus points.

Measure Performance Scalar = Domain: HEA points + Domain: HEA points ... + Domains HEA points

Underserved Multiplier = 1 + e⁻⁽⁻¹⁺¹⁰ - Daul Rank Mass Dual Rank

Health Equity Adjustment (HEA) bonus points = Measure performance scaler x underserved multiplier

Domain Score and Total Performance Score (TPS) Calculation

Individual measure scores for similar measures are combined to find overall Domain scores. On each domain, a minimum number of measures must be scored in order to be eligible for the domain. Once domain scores are calculated, a total performance score is calculated, combining domain scores based on the program year's applicable domain weights. Hospitals are required to be scored on 3 of the 4 domains. Domain weights are reweighted proportionally when hospitals are not eligible for one or more domains.

FFY 2026+: The total performance score calculation will be the sum of the domain scores based on the program year's applicable weights and the health equity adjustment bonus points that the hospital earned.

Overall Domain Score =	Sum of Final Points Earned on Each Scored Measure Maximum Possible Points on Each Scored Measure
oportionally Roweighted Domain Weight (FFY 2015+) =	Original Weight of Domain Sum of Original Weights for all Scored Domains

Total Performance Score (TPS) = [Domain, Score x Domain, Weight + Domain, Score x Domain, Weight ... Domain, Score x Domain, Weight]

FFY 2026+ TPS = Total of Weight Domain Scores (above) + HEA bonus points

VBP Slope/Linear Function, Payout Percentage, Adjustment Fector, and Program Impact Calcula

Once TPS scores are calculated for all eligible hospitals, the VBP slope is calculated such that all program contributions are paid out, making the program budget neutral nationally. The VBP slope/linear function is used to determine each hospitals payout percentage (the amount of their contribution to the VBP pool they receive back) as well as final adjustment factors, and impacts.

VBP Linear Function (Payout Percentage) = [Total Performance Score x VBP Slope]

VBP Adjustment Portor = |1 + (Program Contribution Percentage x Payout Percentage) - Program Contribution Percentage|

Annual Program Impact = [IPPS Base Operating Dollars x VBP Adjustment Pactor - IPPS Base Operating Dollars]

CNovember 2023 DataGen*, Inc.

Pro

-1

Readmission Reduction Program (RRP) Overview

Applicable conditions, performance timeframes, and other details for the FFY 2024, 2025, and 2026 programs

The Readmission Reduction Program (RRP) adjusts Medicare Inpatient payments based on hospital readmission rates for several conditions. This program is punitive only and does not give hospitals credit for improvement over time. First, CMS assigns hospitals to national quintiles based on their ratio of full-benefit dual eligible patients to all Medicare patients. Then, CMS compares hospitals credit for readmission rates to national rates to calculate excess readmission ratios for each condition. Next, CMS compares each excess ratio to the condition specific median excess ratio of the hospital's national quintile and applies the result to aggregate payments for each condition to find excess readmission dollars for use of all excess readmission dollars for condition. The sum of all excess readmission dollars for each condition to find excess readmission dollars for condition. The result to aggregate payments for each condition to find excess readmission dollars for condition. The result of aggregate payments for each condition to find excess readmission dollars for condition. The result of aggregate payments are condition to find excess readmission dollars for condition. The result of aggregate payments for each condition to find excess readmission dollars for condition. The result of aggregate payments and the condition to find excess readmission dollars for condition. The result of aggregate payments for each condition to find excess readmission dollars for condition. The result of aggregate payments for each condition to find excess readmission dollars for condition. The result of aggregate payments for each condition to find excess readmission dollars for condition. The result of aggregate payments for each condition to find excess readmission dollars for condition. The result condition to find excess readmission dollars for each excess readmission dollars for each



Readmission rates, aggregate payments by condition, and excess readmission dollars by condition are all defined by a predetermined list of procedure and/or diagnoses codes specific to each condition, excluding certain planned readmissions or regular, scheduled follow up care. The following patients are also excluded from the rates/revenue estimates used to calculate program adjustments for all measures; patients who are not enrolled in Medicare fee-for-service (FIS); patients under the age of 65; patients without at least 30 days enrollment post-discharge in a Medicare FFS plan; patients who were discharged against medical advice (AMA); certain patients who were transferred to/from another inpatient hospital.

A hospital must have an applicable period of three years of discharge data and at least 25 cases in order to calculate an excess readmission ratio for each applicable condition. Each additional condition added to the program increases the revenue excess and the potential for excess readmissions that results in penalties under the program. The total estimated revenue excess all hospitals for each condition is shown in the graph above to indicate the relative magnitude of each condition of the graph above to indicate the relative magnitude of each condition under the program.

					Program	Timelines						
2019	30 10 11 11 11 11 11	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			30 10 11 11 12 12 12	11 1 1 1 1 1	2024		2025		
Program Parlormance Parlormance	Excluded*	FFY 20	24 Program	n (All Conditions)				FFY 2024 Progra Payment Adjustment	m			
			FFY 20	25 Program (All Cond	litions)					Y 2025 Program Payment Adjustment		
				Perfor		Program od (All Cons	dition	0			-	/ 2026 Program Payment Adjustment

⁸ These performance periods are impacted by the extraordinary circumstances exception granted by CMS in response to the PHE so no claims data reflecting services provided January 1, 2020 - June 30, 2020 will be used in calculations for RRP.

Notes:

¹Predicted Readmission Rate - Reflects the hospital's risk-adjusted, observed 30-day readmission rate following inpatient discharges for each applicable condition. Rates are risk adjusted for age, sex, comorbidities, and other patient characteristics that may contribute to higher readmission rates. These rates also exclude readmissions that are a result of planned follow up care, or unrelated readmissions that are never related to the index admission. Predicted rates reflect performance for the three year period shown above.

³Expected Readmission Rate - Reflects the U.S. 30-day readmission rate for each condition with hospital specific risk adjustments to estimate the expected U.S. readmission rate for each hospital's patient mix. Rates are risk adjusted for age, sex, comorbidities, and other patient characteristics that may contribute to higher readmission rates. These rates also include exclusions for readmissions that are a result of planned follow up care, or unrelated readmissions that are never related to the index admission. Expected rates reflect adjusted national performance for the three year period shown above.

³Excess Readmission Ratio - Calculated for each condition under the program, this ratio represents how each hospital's actual, observed readmission rate differs from the rate for all U.S. hospitals, adjusted for case-mix. An excess ratio greater than one indicates poorer performance than the country and results in payment penalties while an excess ratio less than one indicates better performance and has no effect on payment.

⁴Excess Readmission Revenue - Reflects the portion of revenue for each condition CMS believes was paid due to excess readmissions. Excess readmission revenue is a function of base operating revenue for the condition and the excess ratio on the condition. Base operating dollars reflect operating payments without adjustments for DSH, IME, or outlier payments.

³Readmission Reduction Program Adjustment Factor - Under the RRP program, adjustment factors are calculated by dividing total excess readmission dollars (all conditions) by total base operating dollars for all patients for the same three year performance period as measured by the readmission rates. Adjustment factors are used to reduce IPPS payments on a per-discharge basis for performance under the program. CMS currently sets an adjustment factor floor of 0.9700, or a 3.0% payment penalty.

⁴Quintile Median Excess Readmission Ratio - A hospital is placed into a quintile based on their ratio of full-benefit dual eligible patients to total Medicare patients (including Medicare Fee-For-Service and Medicare Advantage stays) over the three year program performance period. A median excess readmission ratio is calculated for each quintile for each condition. A hospital's own excess readmission ratio for each condition will be compared to the condition specific quintile median excess readmission ratio to determine total excess readmission ratio readmission.

²Budget Neutrality Modifier - A budget neutrality modifier is calculated such that the total Medicare savings using socio-demographic status adjustment methodology are equal to what the total Medicare savings would have been if the previous RRP methodology was used. This budget neutrality modifier is applied to each hospital's RRP adjustment factor.

Readmission rates, aggregate payments by condition, and excess readmission dollars by condition are all defined by a predetermined list of procedure/diagnoses codes specific to each condition. For each condition, condition, specific exclusions and adjustments may apply. Full detail on measure methodology as well as applicable ICD-10 codes for each condition are provided here: https://qualitynet.cms.gov/inpatient/measures/readmission/methodology

Sof6

Hospital Acquired Condition (HAC) Reduction Program Overview

Applicable conditions, performance timeframes, and other details for the FFYs 2024, 2025 and 2026 programs

The Hospital Acquired Condition (HAC) Reduction Program sets payment penalties each year for hospitals in the top quartile (worst performance) of HAC rates for the country. The HAC reduction program is punitive only and does not give hospitals credit for improvement over time. Under the program, hospitals are compared to the nation measure by measure on their 2-score. Available measure scores are equally weighted to determine a total HAC score is used to determine the top quartile (worst performance) for payment penalty in each year. The HAC payment penalty is 1.0% of total Medicare Fee-For-Service (FFS) inputient revenue and does not charge year to year. The program methodology is shown below:

	Total HAC Score	Top Quertile/1.0% Penalty Determination Program Impact					
AHRQ Claims Based Measures		CDC Chart Abstracted Measures					
PSI-90: Patient Safety and Adverse Events Composite ¹	Weight	Central Line Associated Blood Stream Infection (CLABSI) (ICU + Select Wards) (HAI-1)*					
PSI 11: Postoperative Respiratory Failure Rate	25.4%	Central Line Associated Blood Scream Intection (CLABSI) (ICO + Select Wards) (HAP1)					
PSI 13: Postoperative Sepsis Rate	22.0%	Catheter Associated Uninary Tract Infection (CAUTI) (ICU + Select Wards) (HAI-2)*					
PSI 12: Perioperative PE or DVT Rate	17.0%	Catheter Associated Unitary Tract Intection (CAUTI) (ICU + Select Wards) (HAI-2)					
PSI 03: Pressure Ulcer Rate	34.3%	Surgical Site Infection (SSI) Pooled SIR ²					
PSI 10: Postoperative Acute Kidney Injury Rate	7.7%	SSI from Colon Surgery (HAI-3)*					
PSI 9: Perioperative Hemorrhage and Hemotoma Rate	4.0%	SSI from Abdominal Hysterectomy (HAI-4)*					
PSI 6: latrogenic Pneumothorax Rate	3.4%	Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) (HAI-5)*					
PSI 15: Unrecognized Abdominopelvic Accidental Puncture/Laceration	3.4%	Michigania costant stabularcortes antere (MICSA) (HAI-2).					
PSI 8: In-Hospital Fall with Hip Fracture Rate	1.5%	Clostridium difficile (C.diff.) (HAI-6)*					
PSI 14: Postoperative Wound Dehiscence Rate	1.4%	closinalam amore (cam.) (nero)					

Measure Scoring

HAC ratios for all program-eligible hospitals nationwide are assigned winsorized z-scores. A z-score represents how different a hospital performed compared to the national average, in terms of standard deviations from the mean: poor performance = positive z-score (worse than national average) and good performance = negative z-score (better than national average). Lower zscores are better. Winsorization is intended to remove the effects of extreme outliers. CMS chose to do this by setting all z-score values below the 5th percentile to the 5th percentile value.

In order to receive a score on a measure, hospitals must meet minimum requirements. In the FFY 2023 IPPS Final Rule, CMS finalized that for PSI-90 a hospital must have one or more component PSI measures that make up the PSI-90 measure with at least 25 eligible discharges and seven or more component PSI measures with at least 3 eligible discharges. For HAI, a hospital must have 1 or more predicted infections for each measure (1 or more pooled predicted infection for SSI).

Measure z-scores are weighted equally to calculate a total HAC score and are proportionally re-weighted when a hospital is missing one or more measures.

*Measures not meeting the minimum scoring requirements are dropped from the total HAC score calculation. Hospitals receive the maximum score for any HAI measure that is not submitted, unless provided with a waiver.

Other Program Calculations
Pooled Standardized Infection Ratio (SIR) (SSI measures only) = (Observed Infections for Abdominal Hysterectomy + Observed Infections for Colon)
Protect standardized intection Ratio (sin) (sin measures only) - (Predicted infections for Abdominal Hysterectomy + Predicted infections for Colon)
Total HAC Score ^a = Σ Measure Score x Measure Weight
Annual Program Impact ⁴ = Total Medicare FFS Inpatient Dollars x 1.0% - Total Medicare FFS Inpatient Dollars

Z-score⁵ = (Hospital's Measure Performance - Mean Performance for All Hospitals)

Standard Deviation for All Hospitals

Program Timelines

2020 REMANDERED		2021 BEERE BEERE		2022 REFERENCE		2023 8 6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			2024 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		2025		2026 3 5 8 8 8 8 9 10 5 5 6 8 9		
	Excluded	Excluded* FFY 2024: PS Performance I							FY 2024 Program						
			Excluded		FFY 2024: HAI Measures Performance Period				Payment Adjustm						
			FFY 2025: PSI-90 Performan			Period			d		FFY 2025 Program				
				FFY 2025: HAI Measure		es Performance Perio		od			Pay	ment Adjustment			
					FFY	2026: PSI-90	Perform	nance	Period				EP	FFY 2026 Program	
						FFY 2026: HAI Measures Perfor				rmance Period			Payn	Payment Adjustment	

[#]These performance periods are impacted by CMS' adoption in the FFY 2022 IPPS Final Rule to suppress data from July 1, 2020 - December 31, 2020 due to the COVID-19 PHE for the HAC program. CMS also suppressed CY 2021 data for the HAI measures in the FFY 2023 IPPS Final Rule.

Notes:

¹The modified PSI-90 composite measure is calculated by combining performance on 10 individual Patient Safety Indicator (PSI) measures. While hospitals are scored on the overall PSI-90 composite measure, each component PSI and their weight towards the overall composite are shown above. Weights shown are based on version 12.0 of the AHRQ Quality Indicators software.

³The pooled Surgical Site Infection (SSI) measure is made up of two individual SSI measures: SSI - Abdominal Hysterectomy and SSI - Colon. For the pooled SIR measure, observed infections for both SSI measures are divided by predicted infections to calculate a pooled SIR. Hospitals are then evaluated and assigned measure points based on their pooled SIR.

³CMS applies an equal weight to each measure for which a hospital has a measure score.

⁴Unlike the Value Based Purchasing (VBP) and Readmission Reduction Program (RRP), penalties under the HAC program are applied to total Medicare inpatient fee-forservice payments, inclusive of operating, capital, uncompensated care payments, outlier payments, DSH, IME, and VBP/RRP program adjustments.

⁵Individual measure scores are assigned a z-score that represent how different a hospital performed relative to the national average in terms of standard deviation from the mean. Z-scores are winsorized to remove extreme outliers.

*More than Medicare Fee-For-Service patients are included in measure population.

Goff